



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,420	11/06/2006	Geoffrey Harding	11NS201653	8581

52082 7590 08/25/2008  
General Electric Company  
Global Patent Operation  
187 Danbury Road, Suite 204  
Wilton, CT 06897-4122

EXAMINER
----------

ARTMAN, THOMAS R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2882

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/25/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gpo.mail@ge.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/599,420	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARDING, GEOFFREY	
	<b>Examiner</b> THOMAS R. ARTMAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2882	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THOMAS R. ARTMAN. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jonathan Thomas, Reg. No. 50,352. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 6 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: dependent claims 6 and 7 were rejected under 35 USC 112, paragraph 2, without an art rejection. Applicants' representative requested clarification of the status of the claims. The examiner indicated that, as the Office action stands, the claims contained allowable subject matter if rewritten to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejections and included all of the limitations of their base claims and any intervening claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas R Artman/ Examiner, Art Unit 2882	
--	--